

**MINUTES
OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF WEBER COUNTY**

Tuesday, May 30, 2017 - 10:00 a.m.

Commission Chambers, 2380 Washington Blvd., Ogden, Utah

In accordance with the requirements of Utah Code Annotated Section 52-4-203, the County Clerk records in the minutes the names of all persons who appear and speak at a County Commission meeting and the substance "in brief" of their comments. Such statements may include opinion or purported facts. The County does not verify the accuracy or truth of any statement but includes it as part of the record pursuant to State law.

WEBER COUNTY COMMISSIONERS: James Ebert, Kerry W. Gibson and Jim Harvey.

OTHER STAFF PRESENT: Ricky D. Hatch, County Clerk/Auditor, Bryan Baron, Deputy County Attorney; and Fátima Ferneliuss, of the Clerk/Auditor's Office, who took minutes.

A. WELCOME – Chair Ebert

B. MOMENT OF SILENCE

C. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – Holin Wilbanks

D. THOUGHT OF THE DAY – Chair Ebert

E. CONSENT ITEMS:

1. Ratification of warrants #417063-417223 & #1078-1089 in the amount of \$1,929,112.44 dated 5/23/2017.
2. Warrants #417224-417540 and #1090-1095 in the amount of \$1,551,329.56.
3. Purchase orders in the amount of \$272,510.95.
4. Minutes held on May 16, 2017.
5. ACH payment to US Bank for \$134,195.78 for purchasing card transactions through April 25, 2017.
6. Surplus vehicles from the Weber County Fleet Department.
7. Surplus a Tuff Shed from the Weber County Sheriff's Office.
8. Ratify the surplus of seven banquet carts from the Ogden Eccles Conference Center.
9. Set public hearing for 6/27/2017, 10 a.m., to discuss/take public comment on proposal to amend the following County Code sections: Definitions (§101-1-7), Ogden Valley Lighting (§108-16), & Ogden Valley Signs (§110-2) to provide clearer standards for outdoor light & outdoor lighting devices in Ogden Valley to support dark sky-viewing, astrotourism, Dark Sky accreditation of North Fork Park, Ogden Valley General Plan.
10. Conditional use request for The Exchange at Wolf Creek Resort Phase 1 PRUD within approved Wolf Creek Resort Master Development including an average building height of 33 ft. as conditionally allowed in CVR-1 zone.
11. Change Order No. 5 to add money to the contract with Staker Parson for the construction of the 12th Street Roadway Widening Project for work that has already been completed.
12. *First amendment to an Economic Development Agreement with SCREIED LLC – *item was held.
13. Resolution authorizing filing of Cross-Appeals for 2017 centrally assessed properties. Resolution 17-2017
14. Training reimbursement contracts: Alexander Lamarca, Zachary Jones, Brexton Winterbottom, Jacob Stanger
15. Contracts with the following for Independent Consultant Services at Weber County's Egyptian Theater Kids Act Up:
Mark Daniels Caden Thomas
Melanie Day Jenalyn Barber
Lyndee Lynn Ivie
16. Contracts with the following for Independent Consultant Services at Weber County's Ogden Musical Theater:
Maurie Tarbox Mickey Larson David Rees
Mandie Wood Courtney Christenson Micah Maxon
Derek Myler Ricky Parkinson Cheryl Jameson
Elizabeth Smith Joanne Hatch

Commissioner Gibson moved to approve the consent items, less item D.12; Commissioner Harvey seconded.

Commissioner Gibson – aye; Commissioner Harvey – aye; Chair Ebert – aye

F. ACTION ITEMS:

1. **RIGHT-OF-WAY CONTRACT WITH FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORPORATION FOR PURCHASE OF A STRIP OF LAND ALONG THE 12TH STREET CORRIDOR FOR THE ROAD WIDENING PROJECT.**

Sean Wilkinson, County Community and Economic Development Director, presented this standard contract for the road widening project.

Commissioner Gibson moved to approve the right-of-way contract with Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation for purchase of a strip of land along the 12th Street corridor for the road widening project; Commissioner Harvey seconded.

Commissioner Gibson – aye; Commissioner Harvey – aye; Chair Ebert – aye

2. **CRS CONTRACT FOR SURVEYING AND DESIGN SERVICES FOR THE 2550 S ROAD WIDENING PROJECT.**

Sean Wilkinson, County Community and Economic Development Director, stated that CRS was awarded the contract for this new road project through an RFP. This is currently at the property acquisition phase.

Commissioner Gibson moved to approve the CRS contract for surveying and design services for the 2550 South road widening project; Commissioner Harvey seconded.

Commissioner Gibson – aye; Commissioner Harvey – aye; Chair Ebert – aye

3. **AMENDMENT TO CONTRACT WITH THE UTAH DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS (UDC) CLARIFYING TERMS RELATING TO INMATE FUNDS, PREA, PROGRAMS/CLASSES AND INMATE WORKERS.**

Steffani Ebert, of the County Sheriff's Office, presented this amendment to the housing contract for State inmates in the county's facility to clarify terms.

Commissioner Harvey moved to approve the amendment to a contract with the Utah Department of Corrections clarifying terms relating to inmate funds, PREA, programs/classes and inmate workers; Commissioner Gibson seconded.

Commissioner Gibson – aye; Commissioner Harvey – aye; Chair Ebert – aye

4. **AMENDMENT TO CONTRACT WITH STATE, ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE, CHILDREN'S JUSTICE PROGRAM, FOR FUNDING SUPPORT TO WEBER COUNTY CHILDREN'S JUSTICE CENTER (CJC).**

Roberta Dustin, with Weber County CJC, stated that the amendment amount covers 75% of the forensic interviewer's salary and benefits, additional training, and office equipment (copier and computer).

Commissioner Harvey moved to approve the amendment to a contract with the State of Utah, Attorney General's Office, Children's Justice Program, for funding support to the Weber County Children's Justice Center; Commissioner Gibson seconded.

Commissioner Gibson – aye; Commissioner Harvey – aye; Chair Ebert – aye

5. **CONTRACT WITH K&H ELECTION SERVICES TO PROVIDE ELECTION BALLOT PRINTING, PROCESSING AND MAILING SERVICES.**

Ryan Cowley, County Elections Director, presented this contract renewal.

Commissioner Gibson moved to approve the contract with K&H Election Services to provide election ballot printing, processing and mailing services; Commissioner Harvey seconded.

Commissioner Gibson – aye; Commissioner Harvey – aye; Chair Ebert – aye

6. **WEBER COUNTY INMATE TELEPHONES AND VIDEO VISITATION SERVICES RFP PROTEST.**

Brianna Sederholm, County Purchasing Agent, stated that an RFP was advertised earlier this year for inmate telephone and video visitation services for the Jail. The Sheriff's Office has had the same contract for about 10 years. After product demonstrations, she met with the Sheriff's evaluation committee and they spent three days scoring the technical portion of the proposals, without involving any of the cost proposal section. County Purchasing typically handles the RFP process by evaluating the technical portions separately from the costs. This was an extensive process due to the extreme technical nature of the proposals. Securus was not number one after that initial evaluation meeting. However, during analysis of the cost proposal, it was found that Securus provides additional features—an annual minimum guarantee, the use of a part time onsite technical support person, and some investigative features that their software provides to Jail staff—that were not explained in their technical proposal portion. The committee felt these items needed to be considered and decided that with these inclusions in the cost proposal, the best choice for the county was Securus and provided a letter of justification.

A protest was received from Global Tel*Link (GTL), a proposer, listing three areas they felt needed to be addressed: 1) RFP failed to properly disclose the criteria for awarding the contract. GTL felt that the proposals were evaluated on different criteria than that disclosed in the RFP and the criteria used was not disclosed to the proposers. Response: Securus had provided additional information in its cost proposal that was not in its technical portion of the RFP. Securus scores were low because some of this information was not included in the technical portion; it was part of its cost proposal. It was not a matter of the county not disclosing the criteria. It was a matter of additional information becoming available later in the process. Typically, Purchasing asks that scores not be changed, but had this information been provided earlier, it would have been pertinent to the technical scores because it applied directly to the criteria. 2) Scoring system used was different than that set forth in the RFP. Response: Line items for

the cost of visiting and emails to inmates and families and other cost options were combined because through the cost proposal evaluation it was found that some companies were factoring in other cost options (i.e., investigative tools, part/full time technical support staff) already into their commission rates. The change was made in order to evaluate all the commissions equally. Subsequent to the protest, a meeting was held with some members of the evaluation committee, Ricky Hatch, Clerk/Auditor, Ms. Sederholm and the Attorney's Office. They decided that the other cost option would be the appropriate place to evaluate the minimum annual guarantee since it is another cost option not factored in originally. Two companies submitted minimum annual guarantees and received scores in those sections according to the highest ratios. 3) GTL's bid was unfairly scored. GTL felt they received lower scores regarding the commission and costs and interpreted those lower scores to mean that they did not respond to those sections. Response: In actuality those scores are based on mathematical ratios; they are not subjective. An error was found in the commission evaluation formula (pointed out through GTL) and the correction changed GTL's inmate telephone commission from .042 to a 4.167. This change affected the other proposals as well. Regarding the demonstrated ability to complete the project within the required time, GTL felt unfairly scored because they received a 3 instead of a 5. This was a subjective determination by the evaluation committee and the committee felt that the score of 3 was appropriate.

GTL's preferred remedy was to withdraw the intent of award to Securus and restart the entire RFP process. County legal counsel and Ms. Sederholm's recommendation was to proceed with the award to Securus. By changing the cost scores, it was found that the ranking changed and Securus is now the #1 company, #2-Telmate, #3-Legacy Inmate Communications, #4-IC solutions and #5-GTL.

Steffani Ebert, evaluation committee member, was present, as well as Mr. Hatch and a representative of the Attorney's Office. Commissioner Gibson noted that the county has to look for the best deal for taxpayers while balancing that with the comparison of services. The county is open to re-evaluate issues.

Justin James, on behalf of GTL, said that GTL submitted a protest to the award, that Securus was the fourth lowest score initially, and that GTL was concerned whether the choice had been made based on information that was not provided on the RFP. He said that GTL had not been aware of the extra criteria that the county would be weighing and requested resubmitting of the proposal so they can provide similar information. He said that the scores were re-scored and conveniently Securus was now ranked #1. The scoring for cost benefits changed the most and his understanding is that this was calculated off of a mathematical formula, and the scoring numbers seemed to have changed disproportionately. GTL submitted a GRAMA request for an explanation of how these items were scored/how the process was decided and it was denied. Mr. James said it did not appear right.

Chair Ebert abstained from any participation on this item. Steffani Ebert, of the Sheriff's Office and evaluation committee member, referred to Mr. James' comment that GTL was not given the opportunity to submit additional information as was the other vendor and she clarified that this was not the case. Securus had already provided the information to the county but it was simply in their cost proposal section rather than in the technical section; the latter is rated first and separately. Thus the committee did not have access to it for the original scoring, but they did not feel they needed to obtain additional information from GTL because the committee had already gleaned the information from GTL's technical proposal. She stated that of the scores that were changed, GTL's and Securus increased in that one item, but in the cost proposal, it was an objective formula. An error was discovered in the spreadsheet and the original cost proposals were not initially scored accurately. GTL brought it to the county's attention that their score did not look right, and the committee went back and fixed it.

Commissioner Harvey moved to deny the protest regarding the county inmate telephones and video visitation services; Commissioner Gibson seconded.

Commissioner Gibson – aye; Commissioner Harvey – aye; Chair Ebert – abstained

7. **ORDINANCE OF WEBER COUNTY AMENDING THE OVERNIGHT WATERCRAFT USE ORDINANCE FOR PINEVIEW RESERVOIR. ORDINANCE 2017-19**

Holin Wilbanks, County Public Affairs Director, presented the second reading of this ordinance. As this item was reevaluated, they found that there are a number of users that stay multiple nights and this revision allows a season/annual pass. The nightly fee remains at \$15.00/night. Chair Ebert said that through involvement from the community, the Forest Service and the County Sheriff's Office they were

able to produce the first step to address serious issues at Pineview. A lot of input has been received. It is a continual process in the quest to find the best way for a positive experience for everyone. Commissioner Gibson noted that the amount of resources will not decrease at Pineview and the permits now give law enforcement tools to know who is on the reservoir and have some type of measuring mechanism. It should make it easier to track problems.

Public comments were heard at this time:

Steve Johnson, of Huntsville, said that law enforcement that stay overnight should be paid less since the boaters who stay overnight will pay less. He does not agree with reducing the multiple night fees.

Randy Lloyd, of Huntsville, asked if there is a limitation on how long one can stay on the water because in other places people have taken up residence for long periods. Chair Ebert stated that this recreational area falls under the Forest Service. David Ashby, of the Forest Service, stated that the limit is 14-days.

Steve Johnson, of Huntsville, lives right on the reservoir. He said that law enforcement does an incredible job there and he has spent a lot of time with the officers. Regarding the comment that the season pass would provide a tracking method he stated that was not true, but with a daily pass one does know who is on the reservoir. Lt. Brandon Toll, of the County Sheriff's Office, stated that with a season pass information is recorded, the pass has a serial number assigned to it, and if the user is on the water that pass has to be visibly displayed on the boat and is associated with the serial number.

Gail Ahlstrom, of Huntsville, asked if overnight users have to show that they have toilets on their boats, otherwise, where are they going to the bathroom all night, etc. This falls under the Forest Service rules.

Carol Rowley, of Huntsville Town, asked if the number of nightly passes is monitored, and Chair Ebert responded that there is a cap on the reservoir and is handled by the Forest Service. She asked if there is a noise ordinance. She can hear boats and their blaring music very late at night. Chair Ebert said that quiet time starts at 10 p.m.

MJ Munger, of Huntsville Town, asked what the rationale is for annual passes and if they are just to increase convenience for the boating community. Chair Ebert responded that to a certain extent it is for those who use the reservoir on a fairly regular basis. A big issue in the past has been with lack of enforcement and the county is working on funds to provide it and also to change the mentality of individuals who use the reservoir. She said the reservoir is beautiful and that it will be degraded if it is overcrowded, too noisy, the water is polluted, etc., and limiting the number of people overnight seems like a good way to control the degradation. Commissioner Gibson said that he is hearing that they want less people there at night than during the day and this can be discussed in the future. Chair Ebert said that the boating community values the experience at the reservoir and is working to be good partners. He asked for some patience as this item moves forward. The county is aware of the issues and has been in discussions with the Forest Service for over a year to make positive changes.

Ashley Stoddard, of Huntsville Town, said that a good solution is to limit the number of nightly passes and that they have bar codes that are scanned when people come in and when they leave to ensure they do not stay longer than 14 days. This could help with monitoring those who are not responsible boaters.

Virginia Hernandez, of Ogden, said that the reservoir is for everyone and asked about those who do not boat but enjoy an overnight stay at the reservoir. Chair Ebert said that they reference each community as the items relate to them and this agenda item is specific to boating.

Lt. Toll stated that the season pass does not add boats to the water and does not guarantee them a spot on the water. There is a cap on how many boats can be on the water. This is specific from 10 p.m.-6 a.m. As the water decreases, the number of boats also reduces. Chair Ebert reiterated that the county cannot dictate the number of boats on the water; that falls under the Forest Service's authority.

Commissioner Harvey moved to adopt Ordinance 2017-19 amending the Overnight Watercraft Use Ordinance for Pineview Reservoir; Commissioner Gibson seconded.

Commissioner Gibson – aye; Commissioner Harvey – aye; Chair Ebert – aye

8. **ORDINANCE OF WEBER COUNTY AMENDING THE ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES ORDINANCE FOR PINEVIEW AND CAUSEY RESERVOIRS. ORDINANCE 2017-20**

Holin Wilbanks, County Public Affairs Director, noted that over the past year the county had met with a cross-section of users (i.e., anglers, cyclists, hikers, boaters, beach goers, residents, Division of Wildlife Resources, Forest Service, county law enforcement). They discussed better ways to manage and move forward as the numbers at the reservoirs have consistently increased and the safety incidents. Alcohol has been directly related to safety issues. She noted that this has been a process and as they studied beaches across the nation it was found that over the last two decades alcohol has been prohibited in the majority of them. The ordinance prohibits alcoholic beverages/drinking alcoholic beverages in all areas around the reservoirs except in designated overnight camping areas and marinas. This is similar to the Forest Service's language on the permit. Lt. Brandon Toll, of the Sheriff's Office, reported on the Memorial Day weekend. There were 16 incident related to alcohol (i.e., multiple fights, and a near drowning in which CPR was performed on the individual who came to and fought the individual performing CPR and later fought medical staff). He stated that issues related to alcohol use are consuming the vast majority of law enforcement's resources. Deputies went around to the beaches last night and took photos of the amounts of garbage left there and 89% was alcohol containers. The ordinance would greatly reduce alcohol related issues and make the reservoirs safer/more enjoyable.

Steve Weller, of Pleasant View, said he is not a drinker and previously did not have a problem with someone who wanted to do so, however, when it affected his rights, it became a problem. Yesterday at 9 a.m. he and extended family members arrived at Middle Inlet for a day on the beach and within 30 minutes someone set up a disc jockey on the same slab of his picnic table. Within one hour, there were 50 people there, the music was blaring so loudly that the Huntsville residents could hear it, and those people dropped the F-bomb with every other word. A few hours later he asked them politely to turn the music down, that it was inappropriate for his family and others in that picnic area. He was roughly reproached. Mr. Weller then reported the incident to Dispatch. It was almost 4½ hours before officers arrived, and he stated that enforcement needs to occur a lot sooner. Adopted regulations need to be enforced. The problem could have been stopped a lot sooner if a deputy or one of the boat police who pulled up twice at the shore had walked through the area. Unfortunately the drinkers are ruining the experience at Pineview. He supports the ordinance.

Tiana Bikowski, of Eden, stated that with populace increases there are increases in incidents and she would like to know if the incident increase is due to that and not just incidents of alcohol. She said that we cannot enforce the littering problem, which is a huge one around the lake, and asked how then are we to enforce larger safety issues when we cannot enforce the small things. She feels that the ordinance targets people that want free activities—if she wants to enjoy wine there she has to pay to go to a campground, the marina or have a boat. She suggested looking into having a free beach for alcohol, which could help narrow down where specific enforcement would be needed, and after a year revisiting it. She suggested having dumpsters emptied more frequently to help solve the garbage problems.

Kristin Johnson, of Huntsville, asked the amount of the season pass and it is \$130. Her family has been kept awake all night long because of the disc jockey issue brought up earlier and her husband has ridden his canoe to the boats at 2 a.m. She read a letter in support of prohibiting alcohol around Pineview from someone who was out of town and whose family witnessed a near tragic event. Their boat was anchored about 40 feet from another boat where they were drinking heavily and the beach in front of their boat was riddled with beer cans. Without all five adults noticing, a toddler of about 18-20 months old toppled over the boat into the water. She and her husband ran toward that boat yelling that their baby had fallen in. None of them understood what they were saying because of their drunken state and stood there watching them run frantically toward them. Even then they did not notice that their baby was missing from the boat. The couple dove into the water where the baby had been submerged the entire time, and worked hard to get water out of her. Had they not seen the baby from the beach, the baby would be dead. The couple was hesitant to hand the child back to the parents because they were completely drunk and did not react the way normal parents would have. Alcohol and water are a deadly combination. Ms. Johnson interjected that she is not opposed to overnights on the water but alcohol seems to impair people's judgment and behavior—they lose inhibition, concern for others, litter, have extremely dangerous behavior, etc. She is concerned with alcohol and any motorized vehicle, and that the ordinance says

“alcohol around the outlying area,” but that people can drink on the water. She gave an example of a good friend who was waterskiing and because of alcohol a boat passed over the rope and the friend is now severely handicapped. Pineview is one of the most density populated lakes in the State and drunk driving of boats should not be tolerated any more than drunk driving of vehicles. She supports a no alcohol ordinance at the reservoir. Town residents do not visit the beaches because they are not safe. They have had free beaches but then people bring the alcohol and they have become unsafe.

Alan Wheelwright, of Eden, said that this is a great idea in theory, as a lot of laws that are difficult to enforce or do not accomplish what they need to. He suggested that a metric be written into the ordinance and reevaluated in a year to see if it is working. He is in favor of charging for parking.

Ashley Stoddard, of Huntsville, thanked the Commission for looking for solutions to cut back on the trash and make the beaches safer, however, she does not agree with the ordinance. She is a responsible drinker. It wasn't until recently that larger dumpsters were put in. However, they are not dumped frequently enough and on weekends they are overflowing and there is trash all over. She suggested volunteer groups to pick up trash and to have more eyes on the problems, like underage drinking. Her family is from Carmel, CA, where alcohol is allowed on the public beach and she suggested that the county look at what they do there and that in Lake Tahoe glass is not allowed on the beaches but other containers are.

Bill White, Huntsville Town Council member, and member of the Pineview committee for the past 16 months that came up with the overnight boating ordinance and no alcohol on the beaches. He lives adjacent to the lake and referred to the craziness that goes along with the alcohol. He pointed out that 3 of the 5 council members are responsible alcohol drinkers and the majority of the committee members are also alcohol drinkers, but they are 100% united in their support of a no alcohol policy on the beaches because all of them are active users of the Reservoir and have seen so many incidents of dangerous activity due to irresponsible alcohol drinkers. He wishes that everyone would drink responsibly but that is not what is happening, rather there are huge parties of drunkenness because people have figure out that they can go there, have massive parties and get away with what they want. This is having a serious detrimental effect on the quality of the experience at Pineview Reservoir. The town council has also studied this for over a year, and drinkers and non-drinkers are completely unified, as most of Huntsville.

Thayne Fisher, of Huntsville, lives by the Spring Creek parking facility and a Pineview committee member for 16 months, and is a responsible alcohol drinker. He echoed what Mr. White had said but stated that they are not finding responsible drinkers on the beaches around Huntsville. This is the best practice that resulted after all the research for over the 16 months. These are the best practices that have served other beaches that have gone through these same issues very well in the U.S. He said that there is not adequate law enforcement and if they are spending the majority of their time managing alcohol related incidents than by curbing some of those incidents law enforcement can go to other areas. There is a cost to operate the Reservoir (i.e., waste, upkeep, maintenance) and they feel that the community would be better served by reducing the activity from alcohol related incidents and apply those costs/resources to other areas. As the committee explored the best experience for everyone, they feel this will help accomplish that. He recommended adopting the ordinance.

Janet Wampler, of Eden, is not in favor of this ordinance and feels the issue is that of enforcement and that additional laws are not needed. She said that some people like to have a drink on the beach and they pack in/pack out. Taking these types of things away from law abiding citizens rather than enforcing laws is not necessary. She would like to see more frequent emptying of the garbage cans. In the middle of the weeks she finds the garbage cans overflowing and bags of garbage lying beside the receptacles.

Randy Lloyd, of Huntsville, less than 100 yards from the water. Where he grew up in southern California they have issues with alcohol on the beaches and parks, and it is outlawed. He is a daily drinker and a 35-year police work veteran. He is not opposed to banning alcohol on our beaches because he walks them daily and pick up many bags of garbage, the vast majority is alcohol related. He does not want to violate people's rights but also does not want them to infringe upon his, and that's what is happening. They hear the loud noise, hear the fights, pick up the trash, etc. He feels that the only solution is more enforcement, and it cannot just be just responding to calls—it needs to be proactive.

Cory Lare, of Eden, said that enforcement has always been missing at the reservoir for various regulations. He said that it will be difficult to enforce no alcohol at every mile of the shoreline beach. Enforcement needs to be stepped up, even if fees need to be increased. Some are being persecuted due to the bad behavior of others. He does not agree with this ordinance.

Elaine White, of Huntsville Town, respects that some people want a free activity and to enjoy their alcohol, but the larger picture is that the area needs to be safe for families to enjoy. There is a lot of abuse at Pineview. Her teenage son and his friends do not feel safe when they go to the beach and there are other teenagers with kegs of beer. Her family has adopted an area to clean up and 75% of the large amount of trash is alcohol related.

Doug Allen, of Huntsville, referred to the many related meetings that were held in Huntsville Town Hall. He read a letter from Mayor Truett, who could not be present. It stated Huntsville Town's agreement with the ordinance regarding no alcohol on the beaches and around Pineview Reservoir, and that Huntsville continues to be seriously impacted by people who come to Pineview to recreate. In addition to the main access points around Pineview, there are many internal access points from within Huntsville Town. These beaches are where many of these issues arise and alcohol is at the forefront of most of the problems they witness. The county has been working hard to improve the conditions around Pineview and this is a step in the right direction. He asked that this ordinance of no alcohol on the beaches be adopted for the safety of residents and visitors, and that perhaps families will want to frequent Pineview once again. Speaking for himself, Mr. Allen said that he was elected as a councilmember in his community and as a town they support the ordinance. He referred to the heavy daily Pineview usage, noting that the population increased by tenfold while the resource has not changed around Pineview. Measures are needed to make it better and keep it safe. He worked with the Sheriff's Office for 25 years and dealt with many crazy/dangerous issues and they were all alcohol related.

Chair Ebert said that the Commission spent a tremendous amount of time reviewing these issues and all items brought up had been discussed. There are issues with enforcement and it has to do with staffing—just Saturday for 10 hours they responded to 16 incidents, with 2-3 deputies per call, and each call generally take an hour. They are working through a system approach to find a better way to be responsive, to find financial components to increase staff and ordinances that are proactive in nature. Many statutes are not proactive (i.e., disorderly conduct, intoxication) and limiting/prohibiting something becomes a proactive tool in addressing an issue. Commissioner Harvey understands that enforcement is critical. He has a responsibility to support the county's partners (i.e., the Forest Service, city council, user groups) and for him this is a public safety issue. He reiterated that this is a continual process. Commissioner Gibson stated that there have been problems for many years around the reservoir and they are trying to address them to their best ability. Tremendous efforts have been made by many individuals to bring ideas/solutions forward. With this ordinance, the county is just catching up with the rest of the U.S.—it is not common in our day to find a public beach where alcohol is allowed and there are good reasons for that. Core principles of his responsibilities include whether there are life, well-being and safety issues, and in this situation the answer is yes. Chair Ebert reiterated that this is not a condemnation of individuals who use alcohol but a proactive approach to resolving issues that the county is experiencing. He thanked those present for their attendance and participation.

Commissioner Gibson moved to Ordinance 2017-20 amending the Alcoholic Beverages Ordinance for Pineview and Causey Reservoirs; Commissioner Harvey seconded.

Commissioner Gibson – aye; Commissioner Harvey – aye; Chair Ebert – aye

G. PUBLIC COMMENTS: None

H. ADJOURN

Commissioner Gibson moved to adjourn at 11:28 a.m.; Chair Ebert seconded.

Commissioner Gibson – aye; Chair Ebert – aye

Attest:

James Ebert, Chair
Weber County Commission

Ricky D. Hatch, CPA
Weber County Clerk/Auditor